Annals of Mathematics and Computer Science ISSN: 2789-7206
Vol 30 (2025) 85-92 https://doi.org/10.56947/amcs.v30.650

SPECTRAL GAPS AND APPROXIMATE INVARIANCE IN HILBERT SPACES

ROBERT OBOGI[1] AND MOGOI N. EVANS[2]*

Abstract. This paper develops a quantitative theory of approximate invariant subspaces for bounded linear operators on complex Hilbert spaces. We provide novel characterizations for the existence of *almost invariant* subspaces of nonself-adjoint operators using spectral gap conditions, and we investigate how the geometry of the spectrum influences near-invariance. In particular, we extend Lomonosov's Invariant Subspace Theorem to approximate settings by leveraging perturbation theory and compactness arguments. Applications to quantum decoherence are explored, highlighting the role of approximate invariance in the emergence of classical behavior from quantum systems. Additionally, we propose new criteria for approximate spectral clustering and the stability of invariant subspaces under small perturbations, providing a robust framework for analyzing operator dynamics in infinite-dimensional spaces. Our results contribute original tools and insights for the spectral analysis of operators beyond the self-adjoint and compact settings.

Keywords. approximate invariant subspaces, nonself-adjoint operators, spectral gap conditions, perturbation theory, quantum decoherence, operator dynamics.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L55; Secondary 44B20

1. Introduction

The study of invariant subspaces represents a fundamental pillar of operator theory, with deep connections to diverse areas of mathematics and physics [1, 2, 8, 6]. While the existence of invariant subspaces for operators on finite-dimensional spaces follows directly from the fundamental theorem of algebra (see, e.g., [12]), the celebrated Invariant Subspace Problem for operators on infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces remains open, continuing to inspire significant mathematical investigation [9]. Classical existence results for compact operators were established by von Neumann, Aronszajn, and Smith [2], while Lomonosov's groundbreaking work [3] demonstrated the existence of invariant subspaces for operators commuting with nontrivial compact operators, extending the theory substantially beyond the compact case [11].

Date: Received: Sep 8, 2025; Accepted: Sep 28, 2025.
* Corresponding author
Â© The Author(s) 2025. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of the licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

In numerous physical applications, particularly in quantum mechanics [10, 4] and numerical analysis [14], one frequently encounters situations where subspaces are not strictly invariant but exhibit *approximate invariance* under operator action. For instance, the phenomenon of decoherence in quantum mechanics suggests that certain states become approximately stable under temporal evolution, leading to the emergence of classical behavior from quantum systems [10]. Similar approximate invariance phenomena arise naturally in numerical computations [14], perturbation theory [5], and the analysis of partial differential equations [13]. These observations motivate a systematic study of approximate invariance with rigorous mathematical foundations.

In this paper, we develop a comprehensive framework for approximate invariant subspaces of bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces. We establish several new theorems connecting spectral properties—particularly the presence of gaps in the spectrum [15]—to the existence of subspaces that are nearly invariant under operator action. Our approach is quantitative, providing explicit bounds on deviations from exact invariance, and leverages advanced tools from perturbation theory [5], spectral analysis [7], and operator theory [1]. The resulting framework offers new insights into operator dynamics, quantum decoherence processes, and the stability of invariant subspaces under perturbations, contributing original perspectives to the spectral analysis of operators beyond the self-adjoint and compact settings.

2. Preliminaries

Let be a complex Hilbert space, and let denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on . The spectrum of an operator $T \in$ is denoted by (T).

[ε-Invariant Subspace] A closed subspace $M \subset$ is called *ε-invariant* under $T \in$ if for every $x \in M$ with $\|x\| = 1$, the distance $(Tx, M) < \varepsilon$. Equivalently, if $\|TP_M - P_M T P_M\| < \varepsilon$, where P_M is the orthogonal projection onto M.

[Spectral Gap] Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \subset (T)$ be disjoint subsets. The *gap* between σ_1 and σ_2 is defined as

$$\gamma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \inf\{|\lambda - \mu| : \lambda \in \sigma_1, \mu \in \sigma_2\}.$$

If $\gamma > 0$, we say T has a *spectral gap*.

We will use the following known result without proof:

[Riesz Projection] Let $T \in$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain such that $\partial\Omega \cap (T) = \emptyset$. Let Γ be a contour surrounding Ω. Then the operator

$$P_\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma (z - T)\, dz$$

is a projection onto the spectral subspace corresponding to Ω.

3. Existence Results via Spectral Gaps

Our first main result shows that a spectral gap guarantees the existence of approximately invariant subspaces, with the approximation error controlled by the gap size.

[Spectral Gap Approximate Invariance] Let $T \in$ be such that its spectrum (T) is the disjoint union $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ with $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \gamma > 0$. Then:

(i) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed subspace $M_\varepsilon \subset$ such that M_ε is ε-invariant under T.
(ii) As $\varepsilon \to 0$, the subspaces M_ε converge in the sense of the gap metric to a reducing subspace M for T.

Proof. (i) Let δ satisfy $0 < \delta < \gamma/2$. Define two open sets:

$$U_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (z, \sigma_1) < \delta\}, \quad U_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (z, \sigma_2) < \delta\}.$$

By construction, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $\partial U_1 \cap (T) = \emptyset$.

Let Γ be a contour in U_1 surrounding σ_1. We can choose Γ to be a circle of radius R centered at some point in σ_1, with R chosen such that $\Gamma \subset U_1$ and the length of Γ is $2\pi R$. Note that we can ensure $R \leq (\sigma_1) + \delta$. Define the Riesz projection:

$$P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma (z - T)\, dz.$$

Let $M = (P)$. For any $x \in M$, we have $Tx = TPx$. The deviation from invariance is:

$$\|TP - PTP\| = \|(I - P)TP\|.$$

Now, for $z \in \Gamma$, we have the estimate:

$$\|(z - T)\| \leq \frac{1}{(z, (T))} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Using the integral representation:

$$(I - P)TP = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma (I - P)T(z - T)\, dz.$$

Note that $(I - P)$ commutes with the integral. Moreover, for $z \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$T(z - T) = z(z - T) - I.$$

Thus,

$$(I - P)TP = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma (I - P)\left(z(z - T) - I\right) dz.$$

Since $(I - P)$ is a projection, $\|I - P\| \leq 1$. The integral of I over a closed contour is zero. Therefore,

$$\|(I - P)TP\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_\Gamma |z| \|(z - T)\|\, |dz| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\sup_{z\in\Gamma} |z|}{\delta} \cdot \mathrm{length}(\Gamma).$$

Now, $\sup_{z\in\Gamma} |z| \leq \sup_{\lambda\in\sigma_1} |\lambda| + R \leq \sup_{\lambda\in\sigma_1} |\lambda| + (\sigma_1) + \delta$. Let $C = \sup_{\lambda\in\sigma_1} |\lambda| + (\sigma_1) + \gamma/2$. Then for $\delta < \gamma/2$, we have $\sup_{z\in\Gamma} |z| \leq C$. The length of Γ is $2\pi R \leq 2\pi((\sigma_1) + \delta) \leq 2\pi((\sigma_1) + \gamma/2) =: L$. Thus,

$$\|(I - P)TP\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{C}{\delta} \cdot L = \frac{CL}{2\pi\delta}.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta < \min\left\{\gamma/2, \frac{CL}{2\pi\varepsilon}\right\}$. Then $\|(I - P)TP\| < \varepsilon$. Hence, M is ε-invariant.

(ii) The convergence of M_ε (which are the ranges of the corresponding Riesz projections) to the reducing subspace M as $\varepsilon \to 0$ follows from the continuity of the Riesz projection with respect to the contour. In the gap metric, this convergence is well-defined and the limit is indeed a reducing subspace for T. □

4. Perturbative Approximations

Next, we consider how approximate invariance behaves under small perturbations.

[Perturbative Approximation Theorem] Let $T \in$ and let $K \in$ be compact with $\|K\| < \delta$. Then:

(i) If M is an ε-invariant subspace for T, then M is an $(\varepsilon + \delta)$-invariant subspace for $T + K$.
(ii) There exists an approximate invariant subspace for $T + K$ with approximation error depending continuously on δ.

Proof. (i) Let M be ε-invariant for T, so $\|(I - P_M)TP_M\| < \varepsilon$. Consider:

$$(I - P_M)(T + K)P_M = (I - P_M)TP_M + (I - P_M)KP_M.$$

Taking norms:

$$\|(I-P_M)(T+K)P_M\| \leq \|(I-P_M)TP_M\| + \|(I-P_M)KP_M\| < \varepsilon + \|K\| < \varepsilon + \delta.$$

Thus, M is $(\varepsilon + \delta)$-invariant for $T + K$.

(ii) Since K is compact, it can be approximated by finite-rank operators. Let $\{K_n\}$ be a sequence of finite-rank operators such that $\|K - K_n\| \to 0$. For each n, the operator $T + K_n$ has a nontrivial invariant subspace M_n (e.g., by Lomonosov's theorem if $K_n \neq 0$). By part (i), M_n is δ_n-invariant for $T + K$, where $\delta_n = \|K - K_n\|$. As $n \to \infty$, $\delta_n \to 0$, so the approximation error tends to zero. □

5. Approximate Lomonosov-Type Theorem

We now extend Lomonosov's famous result to the approximate setting. The key observation is that if T commutes with a nonzero compact operator K, then T possesses nontrivial finite-dimensional invariant subspaces, which are trivially ε-invariant for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

[Approximate Lomonosov Type Theorem] Let $T \in$ and suppose there exists a nonzero compact operator $K \in$ such that $TK = KT$. Then:

(i) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, T has a nontrivial ε-invariant subspace $M_\varepsilon \subset$.
(ii) If K is of finite rank, then T has a nontrivial finite-dimensional invariant subspace (hence ε-invariant for all $\varepsilon > 0$).

Proof. (i) Since K is compact and nonzero, it has a nonzero eigenvalue λ. Let E be the corresponding eigenspace, which is finite-dimensional. Because T commutes with K, it leaves E invariant: if $v \in E$, then $Kv = \lambda v$, and $K(Tv) = T(Kv) = T(\lambda v) = \lambda(Tv)$, so $Tv \in E$. Thus, $T|_E$ is an operator on a finite-dimensional space. Let v be an eigenvector of $T|_E$. Then the one-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C}v$ is invariant under T. This subspace is exactly invariant, hence ε-invariant for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This proves (i).

(ii) If K is of finite rank, then the eigenspace E corresponding to any nonzero eigenvalue is finite-dimensional. The invariant subspace constructed in (i) is a subspace of E, hence finite-dimensional. □

6. Application to Quantum Decoherence

We now apply our framework to a model of quantum decoherence. The following theorem mathematically characterizes how decoherence can lead to the emergence of approximately invariant subspaces.

[Decoherence and Near-Invariance] Let be a Hilbert space modeling a quantum system and $T = e^{-iHt} \in$ describe unitary time evolution. Let D be a dissipative decoherence operator. Suppose D commutes with a compact projection P. Then:

(i) For small times t, the range of P is approximately invariant under T with error controlled by $t\|[H, P]\|$.

(ii) Under the action of D, the system is driven toward (P). The effective dynamics within (P) is generated by the Hamiltonian PHP, which has discrete spectrum. This discrete structure is often associated with the emergence of quasi-classical behavior.

Proof. (i) Since D commutes with P, the projection P decomposes the space into decoherence-free subspaces. The time evolution under H does not necessarily preserve (P), but the deviation is given by the commutator:

$$\|(I - P)TP\| = \|(I - P)e^{-iHt}P\|.$$

For small t, we can expand the exponential using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula or direct expansion:

$$e^{-iHt}P = P - it[H, P] - \frac{t^2}{2}[H, [H, P]] + \cdots .$$

Applying $I - P$ and noting $(I - P)P = 0$, we get:

$$(I - P)e^{-iHt}P = -it(I - P)[H, P] + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

Taking norms:

$$\|(I - P)e^{-iHt}P\| \leq t\|[H, P]\| + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

Hence, for small times t, (P) is approximately invariant under T with error proportional to $t\|[H, P]\|$.

(ii) The dissipative operator D causes decoherence, typically driving the system into the decoherence-free subspace (P) on a suitable timescale. Once the state is approximately in (P), the effective time evolution is governed by the operator $Pe^{-iHt}P$. For small t or if $[H, P]$ is small, this is approximately $e^{-i(PHP)t}$. Since P is compact, the operator PHP acts on a finite-dimensional space (if P is finite-rank) or has discrete spectrum (if P is compact but infinite-rank, under additional assumptions). Dynamics with a discrete spectrum exhibit revivals and lack the continuous spreading characteristic of purely quantum evolution, which can be interpreted as a form of quasi-classical behavior [10]. This statement is meant in a qualitative, physical sense, as a mathematical consequence of the discrete spectral structure. □

7. Stability and Spectral Clustering

[Stability of Approximate Invariant Subspaces] Let $T \in$, and suppose $\{T_n\} \subset$ is a sequence converging strongly to T. Then:

(i) If each T_n admits an ε-invariant subspace M_n, then every weak limit point of $\{M_n\}$ is ε-invariant for T.

(ii) If $\sup_n \dim M_n < \infty$, then the dimension is stable under strong convergence.

Proof. (i) Let M be a weak limit point of $\{M_n\}$, meaning there is a subsequence $\{M_{n_k}\}$ such that the orthogonal projections $P_{M_{n_k}} \to P_M$ weakly. For any $x \in M$, we have $P_{M_{n_k}} x \to P_M x = x$. Consider:

$$(Tx, M) = \|(I - P_M)Tx\|.$$

Since $T_{n_k} \to T$ strongly and $P_{M_{n_k}} \to P_M$ weakly, and using the fact that strong-weak sequential continuity holds for the inner product, we have:

$$\|(I - P_M)Tx\| \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|(I - P_{M_{n_k}})T_{n_k}x\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|.$$

The last inequality follows because M_{n_k} is ε-invariant for T_{n_k}, so $\|(I-P_{M_{n_k}})T_{n_k}P_{M_{n_k}}\| < \varepsilon$, and since $x \in M$ implies $P_{M_{n_k}}x \to x$, the inequality holds in the limit. Thus, M is ε-invariant for T.

(ii) If the dimensions are uniformly bounded, then the convergence of projections $P_{M_{n_k}} \to P_M$ weakly implies convergence in norm (for finite-dimensional subspaces, weak convergence implies norm convergence). Hence the dimension of M equals the dimension of M_{n_k} for large k, and is thus stable. □

[Approximate Spectral Clustering] Let $T \in$ have spectrum concentrated near a finite union of disjoint compact subsets $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$. Then:

(i) There exist mutually orthogonal subspaces $\{M_j\}_{j=1}^n$ such that each M_j is approximately invariant under T.

(ii) The restriction of T to M_j approximates an operator with spectrum in Λ_j up to $\mathcal{O}((\Lambda_j))$.

Proof. (i) For each j, choose a contour Γ_j surrounding Λ_j and separating it from the rest of the spectrum. Define the Riesz projections:

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_j} (z - T)\, dz.$$

These projections are mutually orthogonal if the contours are chosen in disjoint neighborhoods of the Λ_j. Their ranges $M_j = (P_j)$ are invariant under T in the exact sense if the spectra are perfectly separated. In the approximate case, where the spectra are only nearly separated, the same construction yields approximately invariant subspaces. The error in invariance, $\|(I - P_j)TP_j\|$, can be bounded by the distance from Γ_j to (T) and the length of Γ_j, similar to the proof of Theorem 3. By choosing Γ_j close to Λ_j, this error can be made small, controlled by the distance between the sets Λ_j.

(ii) The spectrum of $T|_{M_j}$ is contained in the neighborhood of Λ_j surrounded by Γ_j. By the spectral mapping theorem and the continuity of the spectrum under small perturbations (or directly from the properties of the Riesz projection), the deviation of $(T|_{M_j})$ from Λ_j is of the order of the diameter of Λ_j and the distance to the rest of the spectrum. $\square$

8. Conclusion

We have established a comprehensive theory of approximate invariant subspaces for bounded operators on Hilbert spaces, extending classical invariant subspace results to quantitative, approximate settings. Our results demonstrate that spectral gaps (Theorem 3), small perturbations (Theorem 4), and commutation relations with compact operators (Theorem 5) all lead to the existence of nearly invariant subspaces with explicit error bounds. Furthermore, our analysis of quantum decoherence (Theorem 6) provides a mathematical framework for understanding the emergence of classical behavior from quantum systems, while our stability results (Theorem 7) and spectral clustering theorem (Theorem 7) offer new tools for numerical analysis and operator theory. These findings have significant implications for multiple disciplines, including numerical analysis ([14]), quantum mechanics ([10]), and the structure theory of operators ([1, 7]). The quantitative nature of our results provides practical criteria for assessing near-invariance in applications where exact invariance cannot be achieved. Future work could focus on several directions: (1) obtaining sharp quantitative estimates for the constants involved in our error bounds, particularly in Theorem 4; (2) applying our framework to specific classes of operators such as Toeplitz operators or Schrodinger operators with magnetic fields ([15]); (3) exploring connections to other notions of almost invariance, such as those arising in the theory of almost-invariant sets in dynamical systems; and (4) extending our approach to more general Banach space settings using the theory of operator ideals ([11]).

Acknowledgement. We acknowledge the anonymous referees for their positive comments which made this paper take this best shape.

References

1. J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.DOI 10.1007/978-1-4757-4383-8
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1958.
3. V. I. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspaces for operators commuting with compact operators*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 7(3):213-214, 1973.
4. C. Enz, *A Course on Many-Body Theory Applied to Solid-State Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992. https://doi.org/10.1142/0426
5. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.ISBN 978-3-540-58661-6 ISBN 978-3-642-66282-9 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-66282-9
6. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1972. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-585001-8.X5001-6
7. E. B. Davies, *Linear Operators and their Spectra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 106, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.ISBN-10 0-521-86629-4
8. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. DOl 10.1007/978-1-4684-9330-6

9. C. Apostol, *Invariant subspaces for operators*, in *Invariant Subspaces and Other Topics*, pp. 1-40, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 6, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982.
10. W. H. Zurek, *Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical*, Reviews of Modern Physics, 75(3):715-775, 2003.
11. R. Schatten, *Norm Ideals of Completely Continuous Operators*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960. DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-35155-0
12. S. Axler, *Linear Algebra Done Right*, 3rd ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41026-0
13. S. L. Sobolev, *Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 7, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1963. https://doi.org/10.1090/mmono/007
14. B. N. Parlett, *The Symmetric Eigenvalue Problem*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 20, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1998. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-394435-1.00019-3
15. B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *On the gap for Schrödinger operators with magnetic field*, in *Partial Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, pp. 118-129, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. 16, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.

[1] Department of Mathematics and Actuarial Science Kisii University, Kenya
Email address: robogi@kisiiuniversity.ac.ke

[2] Department of Mathematics and Actuarial Science Kisii University, Kenya
Email address: evansmogoi@kisiiuniversity.ac.ke