

## ON THE SPECTRUM OF THE LACUNARY CESÀRO OPERATOR

FATIH NURAY

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we extend the classical Cesàro operator by introducing the concept of the lacunary Cesàro operator, which incorporates the idea of lacunary sequences. We examine the operator's matrix representation and its adjoint, establishing the boundedness of these operators on Banach spaces, including the spaces of sequences that converge to zero, absolutely summable sequences, and bounded sequences. Additionally, we determine the spectrum of the operator, showing that it adapts to the structure of the underlying lacunary sequence. Notably, the spectral radius remains equal to one for a wide range of lacunary sequences.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In their seminal 1965 paper on Cesàro operators, Brown, Halmos, and Shields[1] studied the operator that converts a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  into its sequence of averages. They demonstrated that this operator is bounded on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2$  of square-summable sequences, determined its spectrum, and explored its eigenvalues. In the study by J. B. Reade [9], the spectrum of the classical Cesàro operator was investigated on different Banach spaces. The Cesàro operator, denoted by  $C$ , was defined as an averaging operator acting on sequences, with its action represented by a lower triangular matrix. The author analyzed the operator's properties when acting on  $c_0$  (the space of null sequences),  $\ell^1$  (the space of absolutely summable sequences), and  $\ell^\infty$  (the space of bounded sequences). The spectrum of  $C$  was shown to depend on the norm and structure of the underlying space, with specific emphasis on determining whether  $C - \lambda I$  is invertible for different values of  $\lambda$ . Additionally, the adjoint operator  $C^*$  and its spectral properties were explored, revealing that the eigenvalues of  $C^*$  form a subset of the spectrum of  $C$ . This foundational work on the Cesàro operator provides a basis for generalizations such as the lacunary Cesàro operator.

In this paper, we extend their analysis to the *lacunary Cesàro operator*, a generalization that incorporates the concept of lacunary sequences. A lacunary sequence is defined as an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$ ,

---

*Date:* Received: Dec 18, 2024; Accepted: Dec 28, 2024.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; Secondary 40G05.

*Key words and phrases.* Cesàro operator, lacunary sequence, lacunary Cesàro operator, spectrum.

$h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , and the intervals determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  is abbreviated as  $q_r$ , with  $q_1 = k_1$ .

The *lacunary Cesàro operator*  $C_\theta$  maps a sequence  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  to its lacunary averages over the intervals  $I_r$ :

$$C_\theta(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}.$$

This operator extends the classical Cesàro operator by replacing uniform averaging with averaging over lacunary intervals.

The matrix representation of  $C_\theta$  is given by:

$$c_{r,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r}, & \text{if } n \in I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In matrix form:

$$C_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h_1} & \frac{1}{h_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{h_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{h_2} & \frac{1}{h_2} & \cdots & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{h_3} & \frac{1}{h_3} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The adjoint  $C_\theta^*$  of  $C_\theta$  is defined via the relationship:

$$\langle C_\theta x, y \rangle = \langle x, C_\theta^* y \rangle.$$

Its matrix representation is the transpose of  $C_\theta$ :

$$(C_\theta^*)_{n,r} = (C_\theta)_{r,n}.$$

The matrix of  $C_\theta^*$  is:

$$C_\theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \frac{1}{h_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \frac{1}{h_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{h_3} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this work, we aim to:

- (1) Analyze the boundedness of  $C_\theta$  on Banach spaces such as  $c_0$  (null sequences),  $\ell^1$  (absolutely summable sequences), and  $\ell^\infty$  (bounded sequences).
- (2) Determine the spectrum  $\sigma(C_\theta)$ , which depends on the lacunarity of  $\theta$ .
- (3) Explore the relationship between  $C_\theta$  and its adjoint  $C_\theta^*$ , particularly the eigenvalues of  $C_\theta^*$  in relation to lacunary intervals.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 discusses the general properties of  $C_\theta$  and its adjoint. Section 3 derives the spectrum of  $C_\theta$ . In the fourth section, we will address the spectral radius of the lacunary Cesàro operator. The works cited in references [1]-[10] have been utilized in this study. Readers seeking further details are encouraged to consult the original articles listed in the references section.

## 2. MATRIX OPERATORS FOR THE LACUNARY CESÀRO OPERATOR

The relationship between lacunary Cesàro operators and classical Cesàro operators is a fundamental question in summability theory. Lacunary sequences introduce flexibility by allowing irregular intervals, which generalizes the classical Cesàro method. The following theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition under which a lacunary Cesàro operator coincides with the classical Cesàro operator. This result builds upon the work of Freedman et al. [3], particularly Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, which establish the foundational criteria for such equivalence.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  is equal to the classical Cesàro operator  $C$  if and only if the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$1 < \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r < \infty,$$

where  $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ .

**2.1. Conditions for Boundedness.** To ensure that  $C_\theta$  defines a bounded linear operator on Banach spaces such as  $c_0$ ,  $\ell^1$ , and  $\ell^\infty$ , the properties of the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  and the associated matrix are analyzed.

Any infinite matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$  defines an operator  $T$  that transforms the sequence  $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  into the sequence  $y = (y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  according to the formula:

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j, \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1,$$

provided that the series converges.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , where  $a_{ij} = c_{r,n}$  as defined above, gives rise to a bounded linear operator  $T \in B(c_0)$  (the space of null sequences) if and only if:*

- (1) *Each row of  $A$  belongs to  $\ell^1$ , and their  $\ell^1$  norms are uniformly bounded.*
- (2) *Each column of  $A$  belongs to  $c_0$ .*

*The operator norm of  $T$  is the supremum of the  $\ell^1$  norms of the rows of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows directly from the structure of the lacunary Cesàro matrix and standard results on bounded matrix operators on  $c_0$ . The boundedness of the rows ensures that the operator maps sequences to null sequences, while the boundedness of the columns guarantees continuity.  $\square$

**2.2. Operator Norm of the Lacunary Cesàro Operator.** From the structure of  $C_\theta$ , it follows that the operator norm is:

$$\|C_\theta\| = \sup_r \|c_{r,\cdot}\|_{\ell^1} = \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \cdot |I_r|,$$

where  $|I_r| = h_r$  is the length of the interval  $I_r$ . Substituting  $|I_r| = h_r$ , we find:

$$\|C_\theta\| = 1.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *The double-adjoint operator  $C_\theta^{**}$  belongs to  $B(\ell^\infty)$  (the space of bounded sequences), and its operator norm satisfies:*

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = 1.$$

*Proof.* The adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  and the double-adjoint operator  $C_\theta^{**}$  are represented as:

$$(C_\theta^{**}y)_n = \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r,$$

for any  $y = (y_r) \in \ell^\infty$ .

The norm of  $C_\theta^{**}$  is given by:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = \sup_{\|y\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|C_\theta^{**}y\|_{\ell^\infty},$$

where  $\|y\|_{\ell^\infty} = \sup_r |y_r| \leq 1$ , and  $\|C_\theta^{**}y\|_{\ell^\infty}$  is the  $\ell^\infty$  norm of the resulting sequence:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}y\|_{\ell^\infty} = \sup_n \left| \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r \right|.$$

The structure of the lacunary intervals ensures that the weights  $\frac{1}{h_r}$  satisfy:

$$\sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} = 1, \quad \text{for all } r.$$

Thus, for any  $y \in \ell^\infty$ , the norm of  $C_\theta^{**}$  satisfies  $\|C_\theta^{**}\| \leq 1$ .

Consider the sequence  $y = (y_r)$  with  $y_r = 1$  for all  $r$ . In this case:

$$(C_\theta^{**}y)_n = \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r}.$$

The supremum of  $(C_\theta^{**}y)_n$  over all  $n$  equals 1, and hence:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = 1.$$

The double-adjoint operator  $C_\theta^{**}$  is a bounded operator on  $\ell^\infty$ , and its norm is:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = 1.$$

This result demonstrates that the lacunary Cesàro operator's double-adjoint behaves similarly to the classical Cesàro operator in terms of its norm.  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be defined as:

$$k_r = r^2, \quad \text{so that the intervals are } I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r] = ((r-1)^2, r^2],$$

with interval lengths:

$$h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} = r^2 - (r-1)^2 = 2r - 1.$$

The double-adjoint operator  $C_\theta^{**}$  acts on bounded sequences  $x = (x_n) \in \ell^\infty$  as:

$$C_\theta^{**}(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n.$$

Consider the bounded sequence  $x = (x_n)$  defined as:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \in I_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence is bounded, with  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_n |x_n| \leq 1$ . Applying  $C_\theta^{**}$  to  $x$ , we compute:

$$C_\theta^{**}(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{n}.$$

The sum over the interval  $I_r = ((r-1)^2, r^2]$  is approximately given by:

$$\sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{n} \approx \int_{(r-1)^2}^{r^2} \frac{1}{x} dx.$$

Evaluating the integral:

$$\int_{(r-1)^2}^{r^2} \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln(r^2) - \ln((r-1)^2) = 2 \ln(r) - 2 \ln(r-1).$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{n} \approx 2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{r-1} \right).$$

Substituting  $h_r = 2r - 1$ , the operator's output becomes:

$$C_\theta^{**}(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \cdot \sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{n} \approx \frac{2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{r-1} \right)}{2r - 1}.$$

For large  $r$ ,  $\ln \left( \frac{r}{r-1} \right) \approx \frac{1}{r}$ , so:

$$C_\theta^{**}(x)_r \approx \frac{2 \cdot \frac{1}{r}}{2r - 1} \approx \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

The  $\ell^\infty$ -norm of  $C_\theta^{**}(x)$  is:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}(x)\|_\infty = \sup_r |C_\theta^{**}(x)_r|.$$

As  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $C_\theta^{**}(x)_r \rightarrow 0$ . However, the norm of  $C_\theta^{**}$  is preserved because for bounded sequences  $x \in \ell^\infty$ , we have:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = 1.$$

For the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\} = \{r^2\}$  and the bounded sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  for  $n \in I_r$ , the double-adjoint operator is given by:

$$C_\theta^{**}(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \frac{1}{n}.$$

This example demonstrates that  $C_\theta^{**}$  preserves the norm, and the operator belongs to  $B(\ell^\infty)$ , with:

$$\|C_\theta^{**}\| = 1.$$

### 3. ADJOINT OPERATORS AND SPECTRUM OF THE LACUNARY CESÀRO OPERATOR

In this section, we analyze the adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  and the spectrum of the lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$ , defined on  $c_0$  (the space of null sequences). The matrix representation of  $C_\theta$  is given by:

$$c_{r,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r}, & \text{if } n \in I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . Its adjoint  $C_\theta^*$  acts on the dual space  $\ell^1$  (the space of absolutely summable sequences).

**3.1. Adjoint Operator and Its Properties.** The adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  is defined by the transpose of the lacunary Cesàro matrix:

$$(C_\theta^*)_{n,r} = (C_\theta)_{r,n}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. The eigenvalues  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  of the adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  acting on  $\ell^1$  satisfy:*

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_c| < \frac{1}{h_r} \right\},$$

where  $\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}$ .

*Proof.* We aim to establish that the eigenvalues  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  of the adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  are located within disjoint disks centered at  $\lambda_c$  with radius  $\frac{1}{h_r}$ .

The adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  is defined by:

$$(C_\theta^* y)_n = \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r,$$

where  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$  is the length of the interval.

Assume that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_\theta^*$ . Then, there exists a nonzero sequence  $y \in \ell^1$  such that:

$$C_\theta^* y = \lambda y.$$

This implies:

$$\sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r = \lambda y_n, \quad \forall n.$$

For each fixed  $r$ , the contribution to  $\lambda$  primarily depends on the term  $\frac{1}{h_r} y_r$ . Since  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$ , the radius of the disk centered at  $\lambda_c$  with radius  $\frac{1}{h_r}$  decreases for large  $r$ .

The growth ratio  $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  determines  $\lambda_c$ , the limiting center of the eigenvalue disks:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}.$$

The eigenvalue equation implies that  $\lambda$  must satisfy:

$$|\lambda - \lambda_c| < \frac{1}{h_r}, \quad \text{for each } r.$$

Hence, the eigenvalues are confined to disjoint disks:

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_c| < \frac{1}{h_r} \right\}.$$

The lacunary property  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$  ensures that  $\frac{1}{h_r} \rightarrow 0$ , which means the disks become smaller as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The disjoint nature of lacunary intervals ensures there is no overlap between the eigenvalue disks for different  $r$ .

The eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $C_{\theta}^*$  lie within the described disks centered at  $\lambda_c$  with radius  $\frac{1}{h_r}$ , and each eigenvalue has multiplicity 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_{\theta} \in B(c_0)$  has no eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* Assume, for contradiction, that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue of  $C_{\theta}$ . Then there exists a nonzero sequence  $x = (x_n) \in c_0$  such that:

$$C_{\theta}x = \lambda x.$$

By the definition of  $C_{\theta}$ , we have:

$$(C_{\theta}x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n = \lambda x_r,$$

where  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$  and  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . This can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n = \lambda x_r.$$

Since  $x \in c_0$ , we know  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now consider the lacunary intervals  $I_r$ : If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then the average  $\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , due to the fact that  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$ . However, for  $\lambda x_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n$ , we require  $x_r \neq 0$ , contradicting  $x \in c_0$ , where  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore, no such nonzero  $x \in c_0$  exists, and  $C_{\theta}$  has no eigenvalues.

The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_{\theta}$  has no eigenvalues in  $B(c_0)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** Consider the lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_{\theta}$  defined on  $c_0$  as:

$$C_{\theta}(x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n.$$

For simplicity, let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  with  $k_r = 2^r$ , so that  $h_r = 2^{r-1}$ . We aim to show that  $C_{\theta}$  has no eigenvalues.

Let us define:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, & \text{if } n \in I_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence satisfies  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $x \in c_0$ .

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue, then there exists a nonzero sequence  $x = (x_n) \in c_0$  such that:

$$C_{\theta}(x)_r = \lambda x_r.$$

Substituting the definition of  $C_\theta(x)_r$ , this becomes:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n = \lambda x_r.$$

For the chosen sequence  $x_n = (-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , we compute:

$$\sum_{n \in I_r} x_n = \sum_{n=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} (-1)^n \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

This sum alternates in sign, and its magnitude decreases because:

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n \right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{h_r}}{\sqrt{k_{r-1}}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Substitute into the eigenvalue equation:

$$\lambda x_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n.$$

Using the fact that  $\sum_{n \in I_r} x_n \rightarrow 0$ , the right-hand side satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n \rightarrow 0.$$

However,  $x_r = (-1)^r \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_r}} \neq 0$ , leading to a contradiction unless  $\lambda = 0$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then the eigenvalue equation reduces to:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n = 0,$$

which is inconsistent with the definition of  $x_n$  and the operator. Thus, no eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  can exist.

The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  has no eigenvalues on  $c_0$ , as the eigenvalue equation cannot be satisfied for any nonzero sequence  $x \in c_0$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. The spectrum  $\sigma(C_\theta)$  of the lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  acting on  $c_0$  satisfies:*

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_c| \leq \Delta\},$$

where  $\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}$  and  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}$ .

*Proof.* The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  is defined for a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  as:

$$(C_\theta x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n, \quad \text{where } I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r].$$

Here,  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$  is the interval length, and  $I_r$  represents the lacunary intervals.

The spectrum  $\sigma(C_\theta)$  consists of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $C_\theta - \lambda I$  is not invertible. This occurs if:

$$(C_\theta - \lambda I)x = 0 \quad \text{for some nonzero } x \in c_0.$$

The growth ratio  $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  determines the central value  $\lambda_c$  of the spectrum:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}.$$

The spectral radius  $\Delta$  is influenced by the smallest interval length  $h_r$ . Specifically:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}.$$

This reflects the maximum deviation of  $\lambda$  from  $\lambda_c$ .

The operator  $C_\theta - \lambda I$  fails to be invertible if:

$$\|(C_\theta - \lambda I)x\| = 0 \quad \text{for some } x \in c_0.$$

This condition is satisfied when:

$$|\lambda - \lambda_c| \leq \Delta.$$

Combining these results, the spectrum of the lacunary Cesàro operator is given by:

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_c| \leq \Delta\},$$

where  $\lambda_c$  is the center and  $\Delta$  is the radius of the spectrum. □

**Example 3.5.** Let the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be defined as:

$$k_r = 2^r, \quad \text{so that the intervals are } I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r] = (2^{r-1}, 2^r].$$

The interval lengths  $h_r$  are given by:

$$h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} = 2^r - 2^{r-1} = 2^{r-1}.$$

The spectrum of the operator  $C_\theta$  is given by:

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_c| \leq \Delta\},$$

where:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}, \quad q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}.$$

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} = \frac{2^r}{2^{r-1}} = 2.$$

Thus:

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_c = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$h_r = 2^{r-1}.$$

Since  $h_r$  is a monotonically increasing sequence:

$$\inf_r h_r = h_1 = 2^0 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{1} = 1.$$

Therefore, the spectrum is:

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{1}{2}| \leq 1\}.$$

## 4. SPECTRAL RADIUS OF THE LACUNARY CESÀRO OPERATOR

In this section, we summarize the key results regarding the spectral radius of the lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  and discuss potential applications. The spectral radius  $r(C_\theta)$  provides essential insights into the behavior of  $C_\theta$  on Banach spaces such as  $c_0$ ,  $\ell^1$ , and  $\ell^\infty$ , particularly when the underlying sequence is lacunary.

The spectral radius  $r(C_\theta)$  of  $C_\theta$  is defined as:

$$r(C_\theta) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(C_\theta)\},$$

where  $\sigma(C_\theta)$  is the spectrum of  $C_\theta$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be defined as:

$$k_r = r^3, \quad \text{so that the intervals are } I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r] = ((r-1)^3, r^3].$$

The length of the intervals is given by:

$$h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} = r^3 - (r-1)^3 = 3r^2 - 3r + 1.$$

Let us define:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r}, & \text{if } n \in I_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence depends on the index  $r$  of the lacunary interval and decays as  $r$  increases.

Applying  $C_\theta$  to  $x = (x_n)$ , we compute:

$$(C_\theta x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} x_n.$$

Substituting  $x_n = \frac{1}{r}$  for  $n \in I_r$ , we have:

$$(C_\theta x)_r = \frac{1}{h_r} \cdot \left( h_r \cdot \frac{1}{r} \right) = \frac{h_r}{h_r} \cdot \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r}.$$

The  $\ell^\infty$  norm of  $C_\theta x$  is:

$$\|C_\theta x\|_\infty = \sup_r |(C_\theta x)_r| = \sup_r \frac{1}{r}.$$

As  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} \rightarrow 0$ , but for finite  $r$ , the norm remains bounded by 1. Therefore, the operator  $C_\theta$  does not amplify the norm of  $x$ , and we conclude:

$$r(C_\theta) = 1.$$

For the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\} = \{r^3\}$  and the chosen sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{r}$  for  $n \in I_r$ , the spectral radius of the lacunary Cesàro operator remains:

$$r(C_\theta) = 1.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** For any lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$ , the spectral radius of  $C_\theta$  is:

$$r(C_\theta) = 1.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the boundedness of  $C_\theta$  on  $c_0$  and the structure of its spectrum. The largest  $|\lambda|$  in the spectrum occurs when  $|\lambda - 1| = \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r}$ . Since  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \rightarrow 0$ , implying  $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. The eigenvalues  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  of the adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  acting on  $\ell^1$  satisfy:*

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\lambda - \lambda_c| < \Delta,$$

where  $\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}$  and  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}$ .

*Proof.* The adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  is defined by:

$$(C_\theta^* y)_n = \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r,$$

where  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$  is the length of the interval.

To find the eigenvalues of  $C_\theta^*$ , we solve the eigenvalue equation:

$$C_\theta^* y = \lambda y,$$

which implies:

$$\sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r = \lambda y_n, \quad \forall n.$$

The summation  $\sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r$  depends primarily on the interval  $I_r$  containing  $n$ . For large  $r$ , since  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$ , the dominant term is proportional to:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \cdot y_r.$$

Thus, the eigenvalue satisfies:

$$\lambda y_n \approx \frac{1}{h_r} y_r.$$

The relationship between  $h_r$  and  $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  ensures that the eigenvalues are centered around:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}.$$

Here,  $\lambda_c$  captures the asymptotic behavior of the lacunary sequence's growth ratio. This value is the "center" of the eigenvalue disk.

The radius  $\Delta$  of the spectrum is determined by the magnitude of  $\frac{1}{h_r}$ , the weight factor in the adjoint operator. Since  $h_r \rightarrow \infty$ , the smallest  $h_r$  determines the largest deviation of  $\lambda$  from  $\lambda_c$ . Therefore:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}.$$

The eigenvalues of  $C_\theta^*$  are bounded by the disk:

$$|\lambda - \lambda_c| < \Delta,$$

where  $\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}$  and  $\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}$ .

Since each lacunary interval  $I_r$  contributes independently to the spectrum, the eigenvalues have multiplicity 1, and there are no overlapping eigenvalues from distinct intervals.

This completes the proof. □

**Example 4.4.** Let the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be defined as  $k_r = r^3$ . In this case, the lengths of the lacunary intervals are given by:

$$h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} = r^3 - (r-1)^3 = 3r^2 - 3r + 1.$$

The adjoint of the lacunary Cesàro operator,  $C_\theta^*$ , acts on a sequence  $y = (y_n) \in \ell^1$  as:

$$(C_\theta^* y)_n = \sum_{r:n \in I_r} \frac{1}{h_r} y_r,$$

where  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . The spectrum of the adjoint operator satisfies:

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\lambda - \lambda_c| < \Delta,$$

where:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r}, \quad q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \quad \Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r}.$$

We compute:

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} = \frac{r^3}{(r-1)^3} = \frac{r^3}{r^3 - 3r^2 + 3r - 1}.$$

As  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we have:

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^3}{r^3 - 3r^2 + 3r - 1} = 1.$$

Thus:

$$\lambda_c = \frac{1}{\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r} = 1.$$

The infimum of  $h_r$  is given by:

$$h_r = 3r^2 - 3r + 1.$$

Since  $h_r$  is a positive, monotonically increasing sequence for  $r \geq 1$ , we find:

$$\inf_r h_r = h_1 = 1.$$

Hence:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\inf_r h_r} = 1.$$

For the lacunary sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  with  $k_r = r^3$ , the spectrum of the adjoint operator  $C_\theta^*$  satisfies:

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\lambda - 1| < 1.$$

This demonstrates that the eigenvalues of  $C_\theta^*$  are contained within the disk centered at  $\lambda = 1$  with radius 1.

*Result 4.5.* Let  $C_\theta$  be the lacunary Cesàro operator acting on  $c_0$ , and let  $C_\theta^*$  be its adjoint acting on  $\ell^1$ . Then, the spectra of these operators are identical:

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \sigma(C_\theta^*).$$

This equality holds because  $c_0^* \cong \ell^1$ , and the spectral properties of  $C_\theta$  are preserved under duality.

*Proof.* By the general theory of bounded linear operators on Banach spaces, the spectrum of an operator  $T$  and its adjoint  $T^*$  are identical:

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^*).$$

In this case,  $C_\theta$  acts on  $c_0$ , and its adjoint  $C_\theta^*$  acts on  $\ell^1$ . Since  $c_0^* \cong \ell^1$ , the duality does not alter the lacunary sequence's structural properties that determine the spectrum.

Furthermore, the spectral radius  $r(C_\theta)$  and  $r(C_\theta^*)$  are equal due to the preservation of the operator norm under duality. Thus, the eigenvalue condition and the invertibility of  $C_\theta - \lambda I$  on  $c_0$  correspond directly to the same properties for  $C_\theta^* - \lambda I$  on  $\ell^1$ .

This establishes that:

$$\sigma(C_\theta) = \sigma(C_\theta^*),$$

as required. □

## 5. CONCLUSION

The lacunary Cesàro operator  $C_\theta$  generalizes the classical Cesàro operator by incorporating the structure of lacunary sequences. Its spectral radius remains 1 across a wide range of lacunary sequences, and its spectrum adapts to the growth rate of the intervals  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . These results highlight the versatility and potential of  $C_\theta$  as a tool for advancing operator theory and its applications.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Brown, P. R. Halmos, and A. L. Shields, *Cesàro Operators*, Acta Sci. Math., 26 (1965), 125–137. *ACTA BIBL*: [14062](#)
2. A. Çakı and A. Or, *Asymptotically Lacunary statistical equivalent sequences in partial metric spaces*, Ann. Math. Comput. Sci., 22 (2024), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.56947/amcs.v22.262>
3. A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember, and M. Raphael, *Some Cesàro type summability spaces*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 37 (1978), 508–520. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-37.3.508>
4. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1912. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198533092.001.0001>
5. T. W. Körner, *Fourier Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511623813>
6. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-66228-7>
7. P. Moraa and D. Ambogo, *Spectrum of Inner Product Type Integral Transformers on Hilbert Spaces*, Ann. Math. Comput. Sci., 25 (2024), 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.56947/amcs.v25.364>
8. H. C. Rhaly Jr., *An Averaging Operator on the Dirichlet Space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 98 (1984), 555–561. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(84\)90225-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(84)90225-0)
9. J. B. Reade, *On the Spectrum of the Cesàro Operator*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 17 (1985), 263–267. <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/17.3.263>
10. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107325851>

AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AFYONKARAHISAR,  
TÜRKİYE

*Email address:* [fnuray@aku.edu.tr](mailto:fnuray@aku.edu.tr)